

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,562	12/12/2005	Guosheng Chai	16001 B	6647
36672	36672 7590 04/17/2007 CHARLES E. BAXLEY, ESQ.		EXAMINER	
90 JOHN STR			BLEASE, CONRAD R	
THIRD FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10038			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
new roma,			2809	
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 M(	ONTHS	04/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/560,562	CHAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Conrad R. Blease	2809				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
·=	, <del></del>					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are objected to.	☑ Claim(s) <u>3-5</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)				
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/560,562 Page 2

Art Unit: 2809

## Non-Final Rejection

Patent Application, Guosheng Chai et al, 2005: Highstrength Discharge Lamp with Low Glare and High Efficiency For Vehicles.

### **Basis of Claim Rejections:**

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,562 Page 3

Art Unit: 2809

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The Claims:

Claim 1:

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent # 5,497,049, Fischer, 1996. The first sentence of claim 1 contains elements common to many forms of discharge lamps and does not contribute to the patentability of the application. The inventive step is contained in the second sentence of claim 1. However, Fischer anticipates this inventive step. Applicant claims the use of an outer envelope formed to a ball shape and nearly parallel to the arc tube. Fischer teaches the use of a similarly shaped lamp cap. Please see claims 2,3,4, and 12 of Fischer.

Further, Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 1 contains two sentences. Claims are limited to being one sentence in length. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 2:

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent # 5,497,049, Fischer, 1996. Fischer teaches the use of an enclosing structure in the shape of a sphere. (Please see Fischer, Claim 12). Fischer does not specify the use of the shapes of an

Art Unit: 2809

ellipse or cylinder as taught by applicant. However, it would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the use of an ellipse or a sphere. The motivation for doing so would be to make use of the lens like effects a cover can produce for purposes of the bulbs intended

#### Claim 3:

use.

Claim 3 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can only refer to preceding claims in the alternative. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.

#### Claim 4:

Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can only refer to preceding claims in the alternative. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.

#### Claim 5:

Claim 5 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can only refer to preceding claims in the alternative. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,562

Art Unit: 2809

The Application:

6. This application fails to meet the requirements of 35 USC 112, first and second

paragraph. 35 USC 112 first paragraph requires that: "The specification shall contain a written

description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full,

clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or

with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best

mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention." While the second paragraph

of 35 USC 112 requires: "The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly

pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his

invention." The current application fails to meet these requirements. This application appears to

be a "machine" translation which fails to clearly convey the requirements of a patent application.

**Conclusion:** 

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Conrad R. Blease whose telephone number is 571-270-1735. The

examiner can normally be reached from 10:00am to 6:00pm Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Bruce, can be reached Monday through Thursday at 571-272-2487. The fax

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Conrad R. Blease

Camal R Blance

DAVID BRUCE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5